# VISUALIZATION OF ONTOLOGY-BASED DATA WAREHOUSE FOR MALARIA SPREAD INCIDENCES USING PROTEGE

#### Aan Kardiana dan Nova Eka Diana

YARSI E-Health Research Center, Faculty of Information Technology YARSI University, Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: aan.kardiana@yarsi.ac.id

#### **Abstract**

Malaria is a communicable disease caused by a plasmodium parasite and transmitted among human by Anopheles mosquitoes. Late medication of this disease can cause a death of patients. Indonesia has many endemic areas with a high volume of patients diagnosed by Malaria. Currently, this incidences data is stored in Microsoft Excel files. We need to build a data warehouse to easily manage these data. Here, we create ontology of Malaria's incidence data to figure out the important information in Malaria data warehouse that we want to build. We identify entities, classes, subclasses, and relationships between these entities. We employed Protégé to build and visualize the ontology of Malaria incidence data.

Keywords: ontology, data warehouse, malaria, visualization, Protégé

#### Abstrak

Malaria adalah penyakit menular yang disebabkan oleh parasite plasmodium dan dipindahkan ke tubuh manusian oleh Nyamuk Anopheles. Penanganan yang lambat terhadap penyakit malaria dapat menyebabkan kematian pasien. Indonesia adalah salah satu negara yang memiliki banyak wilayah endemik dengan volume yang cukup tinggi terhadap pasien didiagnosis penyakit malaria. Saat ini, data dari kasus-kasus malaria di berbagai wilayah endemic di Indonesia masih disimpan dalam banyak file excel. Akibatnya, terdapat kesulitan untuk memperoleh informasi yang cepat dalam pengambilan keputusan untuk penanganan kasus malaria. Oleh karena itu, perlu dibangun sebuah data warehouse untuk mengatur data tersebut secara terpusat. Pada artikel ini, dibuat ontology untuk mengidentifikasi informasi dan parameter penting dari elemen-elemen yang harus ada dalam data warehouse, seperti: entitas, kelas, sub-kelas, dan hubungan antar entitas. Protégé digunakan untuk membangun visualisasi dan memudahkan pemahaman terhadap hubungan antar entitas dalam data kasus malaria.

Kata Kunci: ontologi, data warehouse, malaria, visualisasi, Protégé

#### 1. Introduction

Malaria is an infectious disease spread by a mosquito of the genus Anopheles. This animal carries out a plasmodium parasite and spread it into human blood circulation through a bite. According to WHO data, Malaria causes a death in children for every 30 seconds. In every year, there are about 300-500 million people infected and 1 million people died caused by this disease. Most of 90% Malaria incidence happened in Africa with children as the most victims. To overcome this incidence, some medicines have been proved able to alleviate Malaria disease. But, it still cannot totally cure this disease because of plasmodium parasite that hidden in human liver. Hence it will be very difficult for those medicines to attack it.

In Indonesia, Malaria endemic areas spread from west to east part of the country. However, most of these endemic data are not organized well. Therefore, it was very difficult for the ministry of health to adapt the effective way for reducing and preventing Malaria spread. A very niche representtation and visualization of Malaria incidences data over the years will facilitate the ministry to quickly take action based on the data.

Data warehouse gives a multidimensional view about a big amount of historical data from operational data sources, in order to provide useful information for decision maker to improve their organization business process [1]. The represent-tation of this multidimensional view of data, the relationship and data flow among entities in the organization can be easily visualized using a specified tool for data warehousing purpose. One research department in Stanford University specialized on Ontology, has developed software called Protégé. Protégé is an application used to easily process data with a specified format so it can be easily understand and transformed into a more useful form of

data. Then, this data can be utilized in supporting decision making process.

In this paper, we create a visualization of Malaria's cases data warehouse in Indonesia using various variables, such as class, entity, object, data property, and individuals. Our data contain of many variables and data types from Malaria spread to the detail of the patients diagnosed with Malaria.

In the past years, a big amount of data can be classified into many categories based on the specification criteria. Data warehouse has become the most voted option for storing data in a very big capacity, such as academic, business, or health data. Data warehouse is usually developed to put together data from various sources and then process it for decision making purpose. A big amount of data and complex relationship among entities in data warehouse make it difficult to understand and generate meaningful information which represents those data. Therefore, we need a clear and detail representation that visualize all of these entity relationship. One way to easily represent the connectivity among entities is by creating the ontology visualization of these entities.

Usually, data warehouse is mainly used for effectively managing and analyzing a huge amount of data. Therefore, the structure of data warehouse should consist of multidimensional models, Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) model for analysis task [2]. Inputs for data warehouse are data that coming from operational database or even data from various web pages. The bigger amount of data processed in data warehouse, then the multidimensional representational would not be a good option because of the complex visualization.

Web semantic technology, such as ontology, is very useful in representing data on the webs into coherent information. Ontology can also be utilized in design process to formally represent business requirements. In research field, ontology usually employed as a tool for visualizing a logical thinking and designing the research output. Ontology also can clearly explain the multidimensional model of data warehouse and break it down into a more detail information. In building the ontology of data warehouse, algorithm scenario is introduced. Automatic scenario engagement is very important to eliminate the dependency toward an expert when designing or analyzing data sources. Many approaches have been proposed to automate the designing task for finding multidimensional elements that facilitate data warehouse development.

Many researches had utilized ontology for visualizing, digitizing process works, and describing detail of variables and data type in data warehouse. Khouri et al [3] explained that ontology can be categorized as Conceptual Ontology (CO) and Linguistic Ontology (LO). Conceptual Ontology is a category of objects and properties in domain, whereas Linguistic Ontology is terms used in the given domain. In their research, Talebzadeh et al [4]

was focusing on creating data warehouse for unstructured data type, e.g. text file, web data, etc. It is called unstructured because these data have a very high volume of capacity and resource level which are really complicated. Therefore, it takes a very long time to process those data. In this context, ontology should be utilized to better model the relationship among entities in the data resource. Thus, more detail information can be extracted and easily understood by everyone.

Romero and Abello in their paper stated that most of data warehouse has been traditionally developed using reengineering process which start from end user requirements and move to what data sources can provide. Here, they introduced a usercentered approach to support design tasks of multidimensional data warehouse that comply with the incoming requirements. They created ontology, a conceptual formalization of the domain, by fully analyzing the data sources to capture multidimensional knowledge. Later, this knowledge can be exploited to assist user requirement elicitation tasks [5]. Thenmozhi and Vivekanandan proposed a framework for designing a multidimensional schema data warehouse using ontologies. Their approach employed a hybrid method which conciliated user requirements and data sources at the early stage of design. They adopted ontology reasoning to automatically generate multidimensional components, e.g. facts and dimensions [6].

Insight of our research is quite similar with the notion of the all mentioned research works above, which is to present entities relationship in the data warehouse with a better visual that can be easily understood and used by users. Here, we visualize the relationship of entities about Malaria Incidence Spread in Indonesia.

## 2. Research Method

### Ontology

Neches et al defined ontology as "Ontology defines the basic terms and relations comprising the vocabulary of an area as well as the rules for combining terms and relations to define extensions of the vocabulary" [7]. It gives a meaning and definition of an object and relation among objects in knowledge domain. Basically, ontology is a concept that




Fig. 1. Ontology Layers [9]

systematically describes about everything. It has two kinds of description in Artificial Intelligence (AI) area; ontology as a representation of vocabulary for a specific domain or subject discussion; ontology as a body of knowledge to explain about such object discussion [8]. Many literatures discuss about definition of ontology in AI, but some of them are contradicting among themselves. However, we can have one closure that ontology is a formal descripttion that describes a concept in a particular domain (classes, sometimes called concepts). Properties of each concept describes the various types and attributes of a concept (slots, sometimes called roles or properties), as well as constraints (Facets, sometimes called role restrictions). Ontology together with some parts of the class is forming a knowledge base.

Ontology is a sub-field of philosophy used in semantic web and is a major component required in the execution of semantic web. It is a study about nature of existence and a branch of metaphysics concerned with identifying types of things that are true and how to describe it. It explains formally about domain of discourse. Ontology is used to capture knowledge about some domain of interest and to illustrate concepts in the domain and also to express the relationships that hold between concepts. The ontology consists of a list of terms and relationships between terms or class of objects which include class hierarchies. It is a formal explicit specification of conceptualization and science that describes type of entity in the world and how they are related [8][9]. Ontology analysis is very important because it clarifies the structure of any system' knowledge representation in the specified domain. Without a proper and thoughtful analysis, it can lead to incoherent knowledge bases that wholly represent a system.

Perez and Benjamins summarized some design criteria and principles that useful in the development of ontologies process [10]. These criteria are: Clarity and Objectivity, Completeness, Coherence, Maximum monotonic extendibility, Minimal ontological commitments, Ontological Distinction Principles, Diversification of hierarchies, Modularity, Minimal semantic distance between sibling concepts, and Standardization of names. Ontology employs five kinds of components to formalize knowledge in the domain.

**Concept** is description of task, function, action, strategy, and so on. Concepts of the on-tology are commonly known as classes, ob-jects, and categories.

**Relations** that represent type of interaction between concepts of domain, e.g. subclass-of and connected-to. It is formally defined as a subset of a product of n sets of concept. Equation (1) represents this relation.

$$R: C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_n \tag{1}$$

**Function** is a special relationship where  $n^{th}$  element of the relationship is unique toward (n-1) preceding elements. Equation (2) shows this function.

$$F: C_1 \times C_2 \times ... C_{n-1} => C_n$$
 (2)

**Axioms** are used to model a sentence that always held true value.

**Instances** are used to represent an element.

| communicacy a service of the communicacy of the com |  |               | Dis  | -              | 1 791  |                  | 740601<br>284901           |  | After eight metal      |              | #   |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
|--|--|---------------|--|----------------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
|  |  |               | -  |                |        |                  |                            |  |                        |              |   |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
| ñ  | or periodetical haid as  | again ne      | an callege Payada  |                |        |                  | _                          | 1  |                        |              |   |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
|  |  |               |  |                |        |                  |                            |  |                        |              |   |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
|  |  |               |  |                |        |                  |                            |  |                        |              |   |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
| No Register Persons  |  |               |  | .0             | ne:    | - 5              | rame.                      | Alamat (Nama   | Desci                  | 7th Koordnat |   | Tangge        | Tangga Masa<br>Sekt<br>(80m/nyyyy) | Tanggal<br>Kunjungan<br>(Dedaan Dorah<br>Kansor<br>(Samorywa) | Tanoga Muse<br>Octori<br>(Remonyyry) | Peterjaan        | Kontimus<br>Lab<br>(MOT) MN<br>FCR) | 340 |
|  | AGB<br>Hingston  | Nama Penderta | DESK<br>DESK<br>ANSKR  | (THY)<br>(THY) |        | Ticols<br>Harris | Dustri / Kampung / Dustrin | Desc Reluration  | Stang                  | 0sr          | Rospyce Coast<br>Catalacterrysis<br>(20070767999) |               |                                    |   |                                      |                  |                                     |     |
| İ  |  |               |  |                | -      |                  |                            |  |                        |              |   |               |                                    | 4   |                                      |                  | -                                   | +   |
| ŀ  | - Control of the Cont |               | I DOGGOOD OF THE PARTY OF THE P | -              | -      | -                |                            | in the second  | 11000000               |              |   |               | -                                  | 1000  | -                                    | -                | -                                   | 4   |
|  | Ps.5100x320x300000000  |               | FLOR PURVIANTO   | 29             | Tatur  | -                |                            | RD1  | MANESAS                |              |   |               | G2MW(2013                          | G5M#(2013   |                                      | <b>BUSINESS</b>  | ROT                                 | 4   |
|  | SET LOS CONTROLOS DE LO |               | SANAO<br>SCANA   | 17             | Tahur  | - 1              | O'THE                      | HT2:   | SUCASAMA               | -            |   | 13/046/2011   | 00/MW/0013                         | 05MW0019  | -05969(3013<br>-073Mar(3013          | Dagana<br>Dates  | WW/seaco                            |     |
|  | PET+006305+36500+304   |               | JOHO   | - 64           | Tarse  | -                | 1111                       | ST3  | MANUTONE<br>MARYABANCO |              |   | 100 mm 100 mm | 05 Mar 2013                        |   | 08/Mar(201)                          | Bunn/artanna     | 20T                                 | 1   |
|  | N.11006/001/000000   |               | MACH.  | - 22           | Tatus  | -                |                            | ALC.   | MENTANGGRANALIA        |              |   | T-Dellocore.  | G190000013                         | QNAM2/2013  |                                      | #JR07370200      | ROT                                 | *   |
|  | P6.310063001300001004  |               | MARCUCI  | - 23           | Tanur  | -                | -                          | 5  | MENTAWAKANALAIA        |              |   | _             | 01MM/2013                          | GPMM(2015   | 07/Mar/2013                          | Buruntamoano     | ROT                                 | 41  |
|  | PR.1 (00) 12T 1 1010C (00)   |               | NO   | 13             | TATA   | -                |                            | M12  | GUNUNGAWA.             |              |   | _             | \$21MW(\$313                       | C7MW2211  |                                      | Declaration      | FOT                                 | -   |
|  | PK1+005320+30100+308   |               | DHOU   | - 47           | Tahur  | 2112             | -                          | NT -   | OUNQNOTAYA             |              |   |               | G21WW/0013                         | 07/Me(0010  | CTM#(2010                            | Detetur          | - POT                               | -   |
|  | PRETERENCE CONTROL TON   |               | NATIMAN .  | 10             | TENE   | 100              |                            | MT+:   | MENCHWAKANMAJA         |              |   |               | 20 MAY 2013                        | 10MW2018  | 10/MH/2013                           | But of Street    | SOF                                 | 7   |
|  | P53190832030G000H0H0   | PCD           | HERMANTO   | 32             | Tates  | CO.              |                            | ST:  | MENTAWAKANMAJIA        | -            |   |               | 94 MW 2013                         | 10MW2015  | 19/49/2013                           | EMANUATE STREET  | FOT                                 | 4   |
|  | P63100552E130500H011   | PCD           | MUNICIPAN  | 32             | Table  | 2.6              |                            | MT 3   | GUNUNGHAYA             |              |   |               | G41WW0015                          | 193MAR2015  | 119MW/2015                           | Determentary     | ADIT                                | П   |
|  | H1004001300001013  | (FCD          | MANCHCHIN  | 12             | Tatas  | - 1-             |                            | RT1-   | GUNUNCHAYA             |              |   |               | 14162/0013                         | ISMM DOTS   | 19/8/2001)                           | BOOLEUF.         | 40f                                 | т   |
|  | PK1+00K32E+3010E+C+1   | PCD           | TEMA/A   | 47             | Tahur  | 100              |                            | 4T-13  | MEJOSANI               |              |   |               | 34 MA(001)                         | 2134H0000   | 314W-2013                            | Deglasii         | NOT -                               | 1   |
|  | PRINCESCO (0000000)  |               | HAMOAN   | 97             | Tahan  | - 1              |                            | RT - STATE OF THE PERSON OF TH | GUNUNOSAYA             | - 4          |   |               | 02:Net 0010                        | 05Ata:2012  |                                      | Berketon         | Vernico                             | 44  |
|  | PET-BOSTOCH SCOOCHURE  |               | WC00   | -              | Tanur  | 4                |                            | RT-BANDARA   | DISLEARC               |              |   |               | 10/Mar/2013                        | 14Mar2013   | 14/Mar(2013)                         | Tatāckorja       | ROT                                 | 4   |
|  | P61-006100-00000000-0-6  |               | J.A.   | 1.             | Table  | 5                | THE                        | RT - DANCARA   | DALSAND                |              |   | _             | H-Mat 2213                         | 15/MM:2012  | 19/Mat/2015                          | Taideauto        |                                     | - 0 |
|  | PR1100K100130530H017   |               | ARRAS  |                | Table  | 16               | -                          | RT-BANDARA   | DISANS.                |              | _   |               | 343062213                          |   | 19/56/2013                           |                  | PDT                                 | -   |
|  | PER EGRADO NACIDENDES  |               | SANGANE -  |                | 7300   | 16               |                            | RT-  | DUNUNDANIA             |              |   |               | 10/04/2013                         | 17.864(2013)  |                                      |                  | POT                                 | - 4 |
|  | DK11868300136600H644   |               | INDI   |                | Ter    | 1                |                            | SANDASA  | CNKANU.                |              |   | _             | - MMW2011                          |   |                                      | BuneTarroine     | POT                                 | h   |
|  | P611006320130000100  |               | HAMAU<br>BUDIK   |                | Table  | -                | -                          | 45c77  | GUNUNGRAYA<br>PALAMBU  |              |   | -             | 10/Mar(2013)                       |   | 15(Nat 2013)                         |                  | POT                                 | -   |
|  | Ph 11009/00130/001021<br>Ph 11009/00130/00102100   |               | AMALIDON   |                | Time   | -                | -                          | BANDARA<br>GM 77   | GUNUAGRAYA             |              |   | _             | 54WW0013                           | 11MA/2013<br>28/MA/2013                                       | 19/Mar/2013<br>26/Mar/2013           | Buturtanoard     | ROT<br>ROT                          | -1  |
|  | PS1100E32C13000C1G27   |               | OFTO   |                | Tather | -                | -                          | RT.  | QUACAGANYA             |              |   | -             | 24 War (2011)                      | 28/MA/2013  | 25/Mar 2015                          | Perandential     | PE/T                                | 1   |
|  | MS 10063251303061004   |               | PUL  |                | Tiese  | -                | -                          | ATA 2  | QUINDRAYA              |              |   | _             | Q19W(2212                          | 04MM(0010   | 04/Mai/2010                          | Deservers        | PDT                                 | 1   |
|  | P6110053201303001025   |               | MATCATICAN   | 25             | Tahur  | -                | -                          | SAIDANA  | DALSANU                |              |   | _             | 01 Mar(001)                        | 05/M#2010   | 05/Mar/2013                          | Dunit/Empario    | POT                                 | er. |
|  | M110083001301001009  |               | HONG   | 15.            | Tatus  |                  |                            | ST I   | GUNUNGAYA              |              |   |               | C19600013                          | Q5AM(#2015  | C5/MW/2015                           | Takhesess        | FOT                                 | *   |
|  |  | pco           | ISLICANAYACII  | 71             | Tales  | 1                |                            | BANDAMA  | SWIMM                  | - 1          |   |               | <b>GENNESSER</b>                   | 05ALW(2015  |                                      | mentaniani       | 401                                 | a   |
|  | PK1+905320+30300+009   | 900           | P/-  | 190            | Tahur  | 7.16             |                            | KW7T   | QUINIAGRAYA            |              |   |               | (E)We2013                          | 06AM(0010)  |                                      | - Buttiffamtions | ADT.                                | т   |
|  | podedocade a decido e tue  | pcp           | LHAT   | - 26           | Tahur  | 1                |                            | ATA 2  | GUNUNGRAYA             |              |   |               | (C) MAY (C) 13                     | OKMMODES.   | ON/MW(2015                           | Supplements of   | 807                                 | -   |
|  | P63+005300+00000+000   | PCD           | LIAN   |                | Table  | 1                |                            | MT P   | GUNLAGRAYA             |              |   |               | 04 Mar 0013                        | GRM#2013  | GB(Mar(2013                          | Petambahinutan   | ROT                                 | т   |
|  | PE3+80E300+36580+65+   | PCD           | PROPEL   | 32             | Tanan  | 7.0              |                            | MENGKELANG   | QUINUNGRAYA            |              |   |               | 63/Mar(2013                        | 08/Mar(2012   | 08/Man 2015                          | @usubfambang     | ROT                                 | Т   |
|  | PN 11 008 800 1 300 00 10 RE   | PCD           | MORE   | H              | Tana   | 2014             |                            | BAYDARA  | 295,8981               |              |   |               | 00 May 2013                        | 12,849(2013)  | 12/162/(2215                         | Busintarioung    | POT:                                | 4   |
|  | P621-00030E1300001003  |               | MAN.   | 12             | Tahu   | 100              |                            | RT+  | DISLAMBLE              |              |   |               | -07/WK(201).                       | 12/44/2013  | 12/Mar(2015)                         | Tanbetoria       | <b>901</b>                          | -   |
|  | PLAN SOR ASS 100000-004  |               | DY A250  | 11             | DAR    | 1                |                            | 455.2  | SUNUNISTAYA            |              |   |               | - 09/MO/0013                       |   | 10/04/2015                           | Tasteteta        | 501                                 | 4   |
|  | PATE SOLIZE EXCEPTION  |               | MINNW  | - 52           | tanu   | 1                |                            | BANDASA  | BMLBANG                |              |   |               | QEMAIQG1)                          | 18/14/12013   |                                      | Businanians      | ROT                                 | 4   |
|  | PE3+50K30E+3050E+308   |               | PAGU   | M              | Farar  |                  |                            | SANDARA  | DICARU                 | - 34         |   |               | 67/Atac5013                        | 155Nac2015  |                                      | Bush/arroans     | 109                                 | 4   |
|  | What the later of  |               | DUCHTO -   | 47             |        | -                |                            | RED.   | DUNUHRELO              |              |   |               | ED Marcon I                        | 06/MM/SQ13  |                                      | HURLA/TOMOSTIC   | 801                                 | 4   |
|  | #11.00x12C110100101#   | PCD           | MANUTOTO   | 48             | 7394   | - 1              |                            | MT4  | TUNGGOOD               |              |   |               | STANCOLLI                          | CAMPOTTE  | -06/MGH3013                          | Businiano        | ACIT                                | 4   |
| i  |  |               |  |                |        | -                |                            |  |                        |              |   |               | 7                                  | -   |                                      |                  |                                     | 46  |

Fig. 2. Current Malaria's Data



Fig. 3. Classes of Malaria's Incidences Data

Below are some components that usually employed to form the structure of ontology:

- XML (Extensible Markup Language) provides output syntax for a structured document, but has not been enforced for XML documents using semantic constrains.
- XML Schema is used to confine the structure of XML document.
- RDF (Resource Description Framework) gives a simple semantic of data model of objects or resources and their relationship. It can be expressed using XML syntax.
- RDF Schema is a vocabulary to describe the properties and classes of sources by using a semantic to spread the hierarchies.
- OWL (Ontology Web Language) adds some vocabularies to explain about properties and classes.
- Fig. 1 shows the structure of ontology layer. Each layer has its own function: XML layer is developed to store web page contents; RDF layer represents the semantic of web page contents, Ontology layer describes vocabularies of the domain, and Logic layer is used to access the specified data.

## Protégé

Protégé is a free, open-source tool editor that has been widely used to build an ontology of domain. Its plugin architecture can be adapted to develop ontology-based application. Moreover, we can integrate the output of Protégé with rule system to build an intelligent system. Protégé support various format to save the data such as OWL, RDF, XML, and HTML. Protégé gives a conceptual basic of integrated knowledge and provides a visualization features to easily model the knowledge bases.

Many researchers have been actively utilizing Protégé to represent the ontology of data warehouse. Awad et al used Protégé to implement ontology of genetic neurological disease [12]. Here, they used OWL and SWRL language to implement ontologies. Prat et al also utilized Protégé to transform multidimensional models data warehouse into OWL-DL ontologies [13].

### 3. Result and Discussion

In this research, we are using Malaria data incidences in Tanah Bumbu regency, South Kalimantan, one of the most endemic areas in Indonesia. Currently, Public Health office in this region is still using Microsoft Excel files to record all the incidences data of Malaria within a year. At the end of each year, they must report all of the data to the Health Department Headquarters, in which different file for each month. By saving these data into different files, it is difficult for the staff to manage and understand the relationship among entities in the data. Hence, it is also hard to generate information and make conclusion about the data.

Fig. 2 shows the structure of the current Microsoft Excel file used to store Malaria's incidence data. This document records historical information about patient who diagnosed with Malaria. Furthermore, it also saves the details of data including the starting point of Malaria spread to the information about patient data recovery. In conclusion, these data consist of many variables that need a clearer representation so it will be easier to be understood.

Here, we are building a visualization of entities relationship in Malaria's incidences data. We are using Protégé, a popular and open-source platform to model, visualize, and build knowledgebased application with ontologies. Before working with Protégé, we need to identify entities and their relationship that involved in current Malaria's incidence data. At first, we identify three main classes of Malaria's incidence data. Fig. 3 shows the list of these classes: StatistikWilayah (information a-bout the spread of Malaria's incidence), Logistik (information about Malaria's medicine logistic in each health office), and DataMalaria (records about Malaria's incidence). Next, we define subclasses for each class, such as DataMalaria's subclasses as shown in Fig. 3. We define the relationship bet-ween two classes, class and its subclasses, by using primary key of each subclass. These primary keys identify unique property for each subclass which later will be converted into dimension table in data warehouse. All of these classes and subclasses have disjoint property, in which they are independent toward each other. We can make connection among




Fig. 4. Ontograf Visualization of Malaria Data

two parties by using the primary key of one party as a foreign key for another party.

After identifying entities and their relationship, we create ontology visualization to easily represent and understand the relation among these entities. We use OntoGraf feature on Protégé to interactively navigate the communication between entities. Fig. 4 shows the OntoGraf visualization of Malaria's incidence data based on the classes, subclasses, and relationship identified in Fig. 3. Here, we can see the detail information about each entity by pointing mouse pointer to the rectangle contain of entity's name. For example, in this figure we get information of all disjoint classes connected to DataMalaria class by pointing mouse pointer to DataMalaria rectangle. We also can assess information about subclass-class relationship between AsalPenularan and DataMalaria by pointing to AsalPenularan rectangle. Protégé Ontograf feature will show information about URI, Superclass, and Disjoint Classes between subclass AsalPenularan and another entity in Malaria's incidence data.

Protégé also provide another features to visualize OWL ontology. Here, we create an OWL visualization of Malaria's incidence data using OWLViz as shown in Appendix 1 Fig. 1. OWLViz enables us to view and incrementally navigate the hierarchies of our Malaria's incidence OWL ontology. Here we can view the direct connection between object and pri-mary key of its superclass. This connectivity is re-presented by a direct line that clearly describes the relationship between object and its classes. This OWLViz give a clearer description about object/variable when compared to OntoGraf visualization.

Here we can see IS-A relationship which emphasize relation between class and superclass.

## 4. Conclusion

Here, we utilize Protégé to visualize the ontology of Malaria's incidences data warehouse. After identified the entities, classes, and their relationships, we build the visualization of these components. We use OWLViz and Ontograf feature in Protégé to visualize the ontologies of our Malaria's data. Users, especially Public Health Officer can easily understand the entities and their relations by viewing the visualizations created by Protégé. Hence, it can help the officer to generate information useful for decision making process.

## Acknowledgement

This work was funded by Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi (UPT) Grant from Ministry of Higher Education, Indonesia.

## References

- [1] J. Pardillo and J. N. Mazon, "Using Ontologies for the Design of Data Warehouses," *Int. J. Database Manag. Syst.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 73–87, May 2011.
- [2] M. Thenmozhi and K. Vivekanandan, "A Tool for Data Warehouse Multidimensional Schema Design using Ontology," vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 161–168, 2013.

- [3] S. Khouri, I. Boukhari, L. Bellatreche, and E. Sardet, "Ontology-based structured web data warehouses for sustainable interoperability: requirement modeling, design methodology and tool," no. 14.
- [4] S. Talebzadeh, M. A. Seyyedi, and A. Salajegheh, "Automated Creating a Data Warehouse from Unstructured Semantic Data," vol. 88, no. 10, pp. 19–25, 2014.
- [5] O. Romero and A. Abello, "A framework for multidimensional design of data warehouses from ontologies," Data & Knowledge Engineering Vol. 69, pp. 1138-1157, 2010
- [6] M. Thenmozhi and K. Vivekanandan, "An ontology based hybrid approach to derive multidimensional schema for data warehouse," International Journal of Computer Applications Vol. 54, No. 8, pp. 0975-8887, 2012
- [7] R. Neches, R. E. Fikes, T. Finin, T. R. Gruber, T. Senator, and W. R. Swartout, "Enabling technology for knowledge sharing,", AI Magazine, 12(3): 36-56, 1991
- [8] B. Chandrasekaran and J.R. Josephson, "The Ontology of Tasks and Methods,", Symposium on Ontological Engineering, AAAI Spring Symposium Series, Stanford, CA, 1997

- [9] B. Chandrasekaran, J. R. Josephson, and V. R. Benjamins, "Ontologies: What are ontologies, and why do we need them?," IEEE Intelligent Systems and Their Applications, Special Issue on Ontologies, 14(1): 20-26, 1999
- [10] A. G. Perez and V. R. Benjamins, "Overview of Knowledge Sharing and Reuse Components: Ontologies and Problem-Solving Methods," Proceedings of the IJCAI-99 Workshop on Ontologies and Problem-Solving Methods (KRR5), Stockholm, Sweden, 1999
- [11] York Sure and Rudi Studer. Towards the Semantic Web: Ontology driven Knowledge Management, 2003.
- [12] D. Awad, H. Tout, V. Courboulay, and A. Revel, "Ontology-based solution for data warehousing in genetic neurological disease," Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering, Vol. 1, 2012
- [13] N. Prat, J. Akoka, and I. C. Wattiau, "Transforming multidimensional models into OWL-DL ontologies," Proceedings of Research Challenges in Information Science (RCIS), pp. 1-12, 2012

## Appendix 1




Fig. 1. OWL Visualization of Malaria Data