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Abstract

Credit scoring system is a classic problem which is still interesting to study. There are many studies on credit scoring. But, most of them only discuss feasibility analysis. In fact, credit scoring system should accommodate all processes from feasibility analysis until the end of contract. This study is aimed to analyze and design scoring of default status and fines computation processes in Islamic bank. BPMN 2.0 was used to model their processes. Beside that, this study proposed new mechanisms and algorithms using Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Sets for maintaining Sharia rules and fairness guarantee. The results showed that the new methods offer more fair and comply to sharia than existing methods.
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1. Introduction

Credit scoring is a classic problem which is still interesting to study. Fisher’s publication in 1936 is known as the first publication that introduce credit scoring system [8]. Recent years, there are many studies on credit scoring such as [4], [5], [7], [10], [16], [11], [8], [19], [20]. It is interesting to study because of the complexity in processes and data behavior that changes dynamically. The more interesting is most of the scholars only discuss credit scoring as feasibility analysis. Moreover, we can see from existing definitions that tend to equalize credit scoring as a credit feasibility analysis such as in [9], [20], [1].

In our opinion, credit scoring system should be able to accommodate all stages of the financing agreement until the expiration of the contract. In the process of Islamic finance, generally financing agreement process is initiated by financing feasibility analysis. This process is conducted to determine whether the customer’s financing application is acceptable or not. If accepted, bank will negotiate margin. Usually, the result of financing feasibility analysis is considered as one of factors in margin determination. Then, bank will process contract signing and funds dropping. After that, bank will monitor the installment of debtors until the end of contract. This process is done by scoring process to determine default status for each debtor.

Usually, banks as well as Islamic banks determine score of default status is only considered by sum of late day installment (according to BI regulation no. 14/15/PBI/2012 tentang kualitas aset bank umum). Basic of this scoring schema is to classify credit risk for each customer. We believe that schema is not fair. As illustration, if we compare, customer A has installment amount 100,000 rupiahs and customer B has installment amount 2 million rupiahs, then both of them arrears 100 days in same, will both of them give same credit risk? Of course no. Thus, it should be design new more fair schema which is more useful in credit decision support systems.

Beside that, usually if customers arrears in installment, they will be charged a fines (penalty). The fines is obtained by multiplication between late day, certain percentage, and their credit amount
(there are different treatment in different banks, many of them use installment amount as calculation, and the others use a remain debt). There are no rules regarding maximum amount of allowed fine. Thus, we believe that schema is not fair because in certain case will incriminate customers. As illustration, if fine percentage that determined by bank is 0.5%. Customer C has 100 million of remain debt and 2 million of installment amount. Because of certain case, customer C arrears until 100 days, then he/she will pay his/her installment. Thus, he/she has to pay 7 million. Of course, this amount will incriminate him/her.

In conducting its operations, Islamic banks have different principle from conventional banks. Besides aiming to maximize profit, Islamic banks have to comply sharia’s rules and regulations based on Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance). According to principle in ushul fiqh, basic law of mon Al-Quran and Hadith (Sharia compliance).

If we observe rules or norms in Quran, hadiths, and other laws, many of them give the crisp rules such as rules about zakah mal calculation which is calculated by 2.5% of total assets, but the others just give normative rules that will cause multi-interpretation and uncertainty such as rules about fine (tazir in Islam). There are no rules from verses and hadiths that mention the crisp amount of fine. Even in guidance of MUI’s national sharia board (DSN) no. 17 year 2000 that mention that fines calculation should be relied on the real lost value. Of course, the rules that will cause multi-interpretation, uncertainty and different implementation in the practices.

Fuzzy logic is known as universal approximators. We know that in type-1 fuzzy sets, the membership function (MF) is a crisp value. According to [13], that will be a weakness if the problem has many uncertainties. Unlike type-1 fuzzy sets, type-2 fuzyssets (T2FS) use fuzzy value in MF calculation so that will accommodate the pro-blems that have many uncertainties [18]. It makes T2FS more promising to get better performance than type-1 fuzzy [13]. One of T2FS that have a lot of implementation in many areas is interval type-2 fuzzy sets (IT2FS). It is because IT2FS has less complexity than the other T2FS.

Thus, our research is aimed to analyze and design the sharia credit scoring model using IT2FS. In this research, we discuss about process of status default scoring (credit monitoring) and process of fines computation policy. Sharia financing schema which is discussed in this research is murabaha schema (see the definition in [2], [21]) because till now that schema still dominate the financing of Islamic bank in Indonesia [3].

**IT2FS**

T2FS model which is used in this research is IT2FS. IT2FS is one of type-2 fuzzy model that map the output to be interval value [13]. We use TSK method (Takagi, Sugeno, and Kang) for inferencing process. The selection of the model because it has a lower level of complexity than the other T2FS without reducing the result performance [13], [18], [12].

If the rule base on IT2FS consists of N rules, the general rules can be written as follows:

\[ R^n; \text{IF } x_i \text{ is } τ_i^n \text{ and... and } x_i \text{ is } τ_i^n \text{ THEN } y^n; n = 1,2,3,... N \] (1)

On equation 1, \( τ_i^n \) \( i = 1,2,...,l \) id IT2FS whereas \( y^n = [y^n, \bar{y}^n] \) is interval value which is used as output.

We can see the MFs for IT2FS in Figure 1. MF IT2FS for \( A \) can be written as MF \( \mu_A(x', u) \), for \( x' \in X \) and \( u \in J_{x'} \subseteq [0,1] \), thus:

\[ A = \{(x', u), \mu_A(x', u) = 1\} \forall x' \in X, \forall u \in J_{x'} \subseteq [0,1] \] (2)

where \( x' \) is called as primary variable and has domain \( X, u \in [0,1] \) is called as secondary variable and has domain \( J_{x'} \subseteq [0,1] \) each \( x' \in X \); and \( J_{x'} \) is called as primary membership of \( x' \). Uncertainty level of \( A \) can be characterized by union value of all primary membership \( J_{x'} \) which is called as footprint of uncertainty (FOU) of so that:

\[ FOU(A) = \bigcup_{x' \in X} J_{x'} = \{(x', u) : u \in J_{x'} \subseteq [0,1]\} \] (3)

Upper membership function (UMF) and lower membership function (LMF) of \( A \) are two MFs in type 1 fuzzy that bound the FOU. \( UMF(A) \) is an upper bound of \( FOU(A) \) and denoted as \( S(x') \), \( \forall x' \in X \). While \( LMF(A) \) is lower bound of \( FOU(A) \) and denoted as \( S(x') \), \( \forall x' \in X \). So that:

\[ FOU(A) = \bigcup_{x' \in X} [\mu_A(x'), \mu_A(x')] \] (4)
Generally, processes in IT2FS can be seen at Figure 2. In Figure 2, crisp input is fuzzified by MF rules in IT2FS. If we assume that input vector \( A \) is \( x = x_1, x_2, ..., x_i \), so that MF of \( x_i \) at each \( A_i \) can be written as follow:

\[
\left[ \mu A^L_i(x_i), \mu A^U_i(x_i) \right]; i = 1, 2, 3, ..., I; n = 1, 2, 3, ..., N
\]

The results from fuzzification will become an input sets for IT2FS. Then, they will be inferenced by calculating the firing interval based on the rule set. Firing interval calculation can be obtained by using equation (6).

\[
\left[ \mu A^L_i(x_i)x \cdots \mu A^U_i(x_i), \mu A^L_i(x_i)x \cdots \mu A^U_i(x_i) \right] = \left[ F^L, F^U \right]
\]

Their output are still in IT2FS form, so that, the next process is type reduction from IT2FS to type-1 fuzzy. There are many methods to do this, but our research use Karnik and Mendel algorithm which is known as KM algorithm (see equation (7) and (8)). Detail of KM algorithm can be seen at [13][18].

\[
y_l = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} F^l y^l + \sum_{l=L+1}^{L} F^l y^l}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} F^l + \sum_{l=L+1}^{L} F^l}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Linguistic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Short, medium, long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
y_r = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} F^l y^r + \sum_{l=L+1}^{L} F^l y^r}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} F^l + \sum_{l=L+1}^{L} F^l}
\]

Results from the type reduction will be an interval value \([y_l, y_r]\). Finally, the last stage is defuzzification process that convert the interval value to crisp value. This defuzzification is done by finding average value from the interval \([y_l, y_r]\) (see the equation (9)).

\[
y = \frac{y_l + y_r}{2}
\]

2. Methodology

Scoring for Default Status

According to our explanation in introduction part, scoring for default status is aimed to classify the customers (debtor) based on their risk (credit). The existing process is only according to sum of late day. Therefore in this research, we use two parameters for scoring default status that are based on sum of late day and installment value which are expected more illustrate the credit risk for each customer rather than just based on sum of late day.

In this research, default status (default) is calculated by using IT2FS algorithm based on sum of late days (late) and installment value (amount) for each customer-\( i \) (see equation (10)).

\[
default; f \ late, amount_i
\]

We design the default status value as interval value \([0, 100]\). Lower credit risk is characterized by the closer value to zero (0). While the higher credit risk is characterized by the closer value to one hundred (100).

IT2FS Model in Scoring for Default Status

Fuzzification is done to convert crisp input to be fuzzy input. We assume that the model used in this process is trapezoid model. MF at each variable is converted by three ordinal scales, and according to IT2FS rules, MF for each variable has LMF and UMF; where LMF is characterized by underline, while UMF is characterized by over line (see Table 1).

In the MF calculation, late day MF in day unit (see equation (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), and (19)), installment MF in rupiah unit (see equation (20), (21), (22), (23), (24), and (26)), while default status MF in percentage unit (%) (see Table 2).
Based on discussion with the experts, we obtain nine (9) rules which is used in IT2FS model. The rules can be seen in Table 3.

Then, the MFs dan the rules will be used in firing interval calculation as we see in equation (6), type reduction as in equation (7) and (8), and defuzzification process as in equation (9). Based on our models, the final output (default, = y) will produce the interval value [0, 100] that will be used in fine calculation.

**Table 3: Rule Based Model IT2FS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>Install</th>
<th>Default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>big</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fines Computation**

According to Zuhaili [21], based on verses and hadiths, imposition of penalties (fines) on the late payment of the debt will be same with riba (usury) which is forbidden in Islam. But, based on his study, the imposition will be allowed if the debt is based on purchase contract. Murabaha financing is one of financing contract which is based on pur-
This is in line with the guidance (fatwa) of DSN MUI no. 17 of 2000 which allows the imposition of fines to customers in order to make customers more disciplined. At the other provisions, generally the imposition of fines is only allowed for customers who are able and deliberately delaying payment. But, unlike other financing contract, in murabaha contract we can assume that the customers are able to pay, so that if the customer delay the payment, it is considered as negligent and allowed to be fined.

Under the fatwa, the fine value should be based on the real lost value that may arise when the customer is arrears. However, on the other hand, the imposed fines value is solely to make customers more disciplined and may not be used for the benefit of the institutions.

Based on interview with some experts (DSN committee, BI, lecturer in muamalah, and some sharia bankers), there are two opinions regarding the determination of the real lost value. The first opinion, the banks have to actually calculate the real lost value that may arise when the customer is arrears. The second opinion is that the banks can impose a fixed fine value that is determined by the amount of the loan. The banks should consider all these factors when determining the fine value.
al lost value based on real conditions when the customers are arrears. While the second opinion, banks are allowed to calculate the estimated real lost value that may arise on the basis of relevant data and information. Furthermore, the data and information that would be relevant for the calculation of real lost is the value of bad debt expense or allowance for bad debt expense that have been periodically conducted by bank.

As our explanation before, we use interval value of $bE(Fc) [0,100]$ as a percentage (%) of real lost. We hope that treatment can accommodate two opinions about real lost above. Thus, we establish two scenarios for fines computation.

**Scenario 1**
We will not discuss about the lost computation in real condition. We assume the real lost as $r$ rupiahs. The fines value ($ fines_i$) is obtained by multiplication between $r$ and result of IT2FS ($defaul t_i$) (see equation (23)).

$$ fines_i = r \times defaul t_i / 100 $$

**Scenario 2**
Bad debt expense is the estimated value of collectible financing debt for all customers. Thus, if we assume bad debt expense as $bd$ rupiahs, then the fines value is obtained by multiplication between $bd$, result of IT2FS ($defaul t_i$), and the weighted ave-

![Figure 4. Business process model part 2](image-url)
rage of installment for each customer to total installment \((w_i)\) (see equation (24)).

\[
\text{fin}_{es_i} = bd * \text{default}_i * w_i \tag{24}
\]

If there are \(N\) customers, then \(w_i\) can be obtained by using weighted average as follows:

\[
w_i = \frac{\text{install}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{install}_i} \tag{25}
\]

### Business Process Model

Monitoring process is conducted after financing approval and financing dropping process. This process is conducted during the financing agreement until the end of the contract. In this study, the monitoring is done by scoring the default status (default). This score is obtained by using an IT2FS algorithm based on two variables (sum of late day (late) and installment amount (install)) for each customer (see equation 10). If there are arrears customers, then it will be imposed certain fines by calculation based on default values (see subsections 3.2, sub subsection 3.2.1 and 3.2.2).

We build the business process model using BPMN 2.0 (Business Process Modeling and Notation 2.0 version). According to [15], the validity of the model can be characterized based on three criteria: the complexity of each process, risk handling and planning, and communication among stakeholders.

Based on our analysis, we build a business process model for scoring default status and fines computations (see Figure 3 and 4). In general, we divide the processes into two major parts, installment process and the processes that occur in the credit scoring. Installment process is modeled as installment transaction process in general (see Figure 3). But, we can see the difference in terms of the imposition of fines. in Figure 3, we can see that the fines will be imposed if only if the customer can not prove that the delay that has occurred done inadvertently. In other words, we can say that the fines will be imposed if only if the customer arrears on purpose.

### 3. Results and Analysis

We conduct the trial on 2 customers that differ in installments amount (the customer whose installment value 750 thousand rupiahs and 2.2 million rupiahs). Both of customers data is hypothetical data which is created by following the rules in our transaction for each customer is fixed, so that we believe the trial periods which is only 12 months, will represent all of different characteristics of financing period, whether 1, 3, 5 or even 20 years. In first scenario, we assume the maximum real lost value is equal with the installment value, while in second scenario, we assume the bad debt expense is 30% of installment total. Beside that, we compare our result with the conventional method by assuming that the fines percentage is 0.5% per late day. The result of first scenario can be seen in Figure 5, while the second scenario in Figure 6 model. We conduct the trial for 12 months of periods. We assume the date value of last installment

On Figure 4, scoring process of default status is conducted every the end of day. The process is initiated by collecting sum of late days and the installment value. The next process is mapping them to be score of default status using an IT2FS algorithm. These processes are carried out for each customer. The score of default status will become the basis of the fines calculation. Then, these values will be updated into database. Hence, in the fines calculation, the system will just read these scores from database.

On Figure 5 and Figure 6, Both of instance 750.000 and 2.200.000 are the result of our proposed method for customer whose installment value 750 thousand rupiahs and 2.2 million rupiahs, while the instance of 750.000\_O and 2.200.000\_O are the result of conventional method. We can see from the results in Figure 5 and.

Figure 6, in conventional method, the fines value will always be linear according to the number of late days without any maximum limit. While in proposed method, for each scenario, we consider the real lost values as an upper bound (maximum value) of imposed fines. This treatment is used to
limit the fines such that the imposed fines will not 
incriminate the customers as well as in sharia rules. 
The scoring of default status value \([0,100]\) is used as a 
percentage (%) of real lost value. The different 
between scenario 1 and scenario 2 lies in real lost 
calculation (see sub subb 3.3.1 and 3.3.2). In 
scenario 1, upper bound of fines is a real lost value 
(real lost value \(\leq\) installment value), maximum 
is equivalent or less than installment value. While in 
scenario 2, upper bound of real lost value will be 
adjusted by the result calculation of weighted 
average of each customer. So that, maximum imposed 
fines will be affected by the outcome of default sco-
re and weighted average calculation for each custo-
mer.

If the calculation process of real lost value in 
scenario 1 could be obtained on robust, we consider 
that scenario 1 will be more fair than scenario 2. 
But, in fact, the calculation process of real lost va-
value in scenario 1 will be more difficult and need 
more time and additional cost. Whereas in scenario 
2, the real lost value is obtained from bad debt ex-
panse value which is commonly done by the bank 
periodically. Therefore, based on the test result and 
these considerations, we claim that scenario 2 is 
promising in its application.

4. Conclusion

In Islamic bank, sharia compliant is a must so that 
we model the credit scoring processes by consid-
ering the sharia rules. We use BPMN 2.0 as mo-
deling notation their processes. We discuss two 
main processes, scoring the default status and fin-
es calculation. We use IT2FS model in scoring the 
default status. This scoring process will result the 
interval value \([0,100]\) which will be used by fines 
calculation process as a percentage of real lost value. 
There are two experts opinions about real lost, 
consequently we propose two scenarios. Basi-
on the results analysis, we claim that two pro-
sed scenarios are more fair than the conventional 
method. Furthermore, we believe that scenario 2 is 
more promising in its application than scenario 1. 
Based on our business process model, the fines will 
be imposed if and only if the the customer can not 
prove that the delay was due to inadvertence.
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